1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 UNITED CAPITAL FINANCIAL ADVISERS, INC., 10 2:12-CV-0300-LRH-PAL Plaintiff, 11 **ORDER** v. 12 CAPITAL INSIGHT PARTNERS, LLC; et al.,) 13 Defendants. 14 15 Before the court is plaintiff United Capital Financial Advisers, Inc.'s ("United Capital") 16 motion to seal certain exhibits in support of its motion for a preliminary injunction (Doc. #5¹). 17 Doc. #6. 18 A party seeking to file materials under seal bears the burden of showing that the materials 19 are covered by an operative protective order or are also deserving of confidentiality. See Foltz v. 20 State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1135 (9th Cir. 2005). Specifically, a party must 21 "articulate compelling reasons supported by specific factual findings that outweigh the general 22 history of access and the public policies favoring disclosure." Kamakana, City and County of 23 Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations omitted). 24 Here, United Capital has put forth compelling reasons for sealing the requested documents, 25 26 ¹ Refers to the court's docket number.

Case 2:12-cv-00300-LRH-PAL Document 36 Filed 04/10/12 Page 2 of 2

1	namely, that these documents contain third-party personal client information. The court finds that
2	United Capital has met its burden and shall grant its motion accordingly.
3	
4	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to seal (Doc. #6) is GRANTED.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	DATED this 10th day of April, 2012.
7	Outour
8	LARRY R. HICKS
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	